## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)	
v.	) Case No. 24-3029	96
Donald Wayne Cummings  Defendant	)	, ,

	) Case No. 24-30296
Donald Wayne Cummings	
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETENTI	ION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibili	ty for Detention
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant	t to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or Court's own m	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. presumption that no condition or combination of condit and the community because the following conditions has	ions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following	owing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. rm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 8	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 301-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or	victed of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or
	e of violence but involves: firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
<del></del>	of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	e for which the defendant has been convicted was bending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
<b>—</b> `	sed since the date of conviction, or the release of the escribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
✓ Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
✓ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

At Defendant's September 10, 2021 detention hearing in case no. 21-20606 the Court detained Defendant and found that he: (1) is a daily drug user of Percocet and marijuana; (2) was found with delivery quantities of drugs and a firearm with an extended magazine (despite being underage) in the presence of a 1 year old; (3) is strongly connected to two planned shootings at houses, with further evidence of a possible third; (4) ran from the police, both before and after being tased, and bragged about it on social media; (5) committed a violent assault on someone for failing to pay a drug debt, including mutiple kicks and punches to the victim's head as he lay on the ground, as captured on video; and, (7) is dangerous, and is likely to either kill or be killed if kept out on bond. Since his release from prison 14 months ago, and while on supervision, as discussed at today's detention hearing in the instant case (all of the Court's reasoning being incorporated in full by this reference), Defendant has been picked up with a gun in connection with a property theft transaction, during which he fled from police. The gun was also seen in his hand on video and is connected with a shooting that occurred six days earlier. In a jail cell call this past weekend, Defendant told his girlfriend that "People are safer when I'm locked up." Defendant's criminal behavior is undeterred and escalating. He had no legitimate job until immediately before his arrest. Defendant has twice fled from the police with a firearm.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: J	July 29, 2024	s/Anthony P. Patti
		Judge's Signature
		Anthony P. Patti, U.S. Magistrate Judge
		Name and Title